

Interview Summary



08/653,294

Applicant(s)

Clayberger et al.

Examiner

Thomas Cunningham

Group Art Unit 1644



| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): |
|---|
| (1) Thomas Cunningham (3) |
| (2) <u>Tom Mays</u> (4) |
| Date of Interview |
| Туре: 🕅 elephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative). |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes 186. If yes, brief description: |
| |
| Agreement _was reached. |
| Claim(s) discussed: all pending |
| Identification of prior art discussed: |
| None |
| Applicant to consider dropping term "variant" to address 112/1 issue. Applicant proposed "consisting essentially of" language in line 3 of claim 1. Examiner indicated such language may be indefinite but that upon presentation it would be reviewed with the biotech specialist. Generally reviewed other 112/1 issues of record. Reviewed other 112/1 rejections of record and 103 issue. Examiner indicated that claims directed to palindromic dimers would be free of the prior art and suggested that evidence of an unexpected property for homodimeric peptides would help address obviousness rejection. |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) |
| 1. 🖄 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. |
| Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. |
| 2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked. |
| THOMAS M. CURNINGHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1800 |
| Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action. |